Comparison analysis of dropout methods for regression task in deep learning

Defence presentation

Zafarzhon Irismetov Scientific supervisor: Dr. Sc. Comp. Evalds Urtans

Topicality of the thesis topic

The dropout method prevents overfitting in deep neural network. Not much researches have been made regarding dropout effect on regression tasks in deep models.

During litrachure search only one paper with similar research was found. The information there was not sufficient, because first "old" version of dropout method was tested.

Most of the researches that test the effect of dropout methods are made on classification, image and speech recognition tasks.

Papers: <u>https://www.researchgate.net/publication/344274687_Effect_of_Dropout_Layer_on_Classical_Regression_Problems</u> (similar topic) <u>https://arxiv.org/pdf/2010.05244.pdf</u>(Advanced dropout)

Topicality of the thesis topic literature surfing

Showing 1-25 of 58 for	("All Metadata":dropout) AND ("All Metadata":regression) AND ("All Metadata":neural netw	vork)×
□ Conferences (40)	 Journals (16) Early Access Articles (2) 	
Show	□ Select All on Page	Sort By: Relevance ▼
All Results Subscribed Content ?	Effect of Dropout layer on Classical Regression Problems Atilla Özgür: Eatib Nar	6
Open Access Only	2020 28th Signal Processing and Communications Applications Conference (SIU) Year: 2020 Conference Paper Publisher: IEEE	
Year	Cited by: Papers (2) ► Abstract HTML	
Single Year Hange	 Javanese vowels sound classification with convolutional neural network Chandra Kusuma Dewa 2016 International Seminar on Intelligent Technology and Its Applications (ISITIA) Year: 2016 Conference Paper Publisher: IEEE Cited by: Papers (6) 	£

https://ieeexplore-ieee-org.resursi.rtu.lv/search/searchresult.jsp?action=search&newsearch=true&matchBoolean=true&queryText=(%22All%20M etadata%22:dropout)%20AND%20(%22All%20Metadata%22:regression)%20AND%20(%2All%20Metadata%22:regression)%20AND%20(%2All%20Metadata%22:regression)%20AND%20(%2All%20Metadata%22:regression)%20AND%20(%2All%20Metadata%22:regression)%20AND%20(%2All%20Metadata%22:regression)%20AND%20(%2All%20Metadata%22:regression)%20AND%20(%2All%20Metadata%22:regression)%20AND%20(%2All%20Metadata%22:regression)%20AND%20(%2All%20Metadata%22:regression)%

The goal of the thesis

- Type 1
- The purpose of this work is to perform a comparison analysis of Simple, Drop-Connect, Gaussian and Advanced dropout methods in a regression tasks with four datasets in deep learning model. (Boston houses, California housing price, Weather is Szeged, BNG).

Hypothesis and tasks

Hypothesis:

- 1. The dropout functions prevent overfitting in regression tasks.
- 2. The modern 'Advanced' dropout function (Xie, et al., 2021) reduce overfitting better than its predecessors.

Tasks:

- To study the background information of neural network, regression, overfitting, and dropout function
- Analyze the open source framework 'PyTorch' to build a deep neural model for this experiment.
- Develop the methodology of the experiment.
- Compare and analyze the results of four dropout functions in different datasets

Riga Technical University

Overfitting problem

Overfitting is a problem in machine learning when the model learned patterns specific to the training data, which are irrelevant to other data. In other words, the model is unable to produce accurate predictions for real data.

The overfitting may appear due to these factors:

- Data for deep models may contain many errors.
- Model complexity is high



Image source: https://stackoverflow.com/questions/59856614/overfitting-and-data-leakage-in-tensorflow-keras-neural-network

Dropout method

One method of preventing overfitting is the dropout. It was invented in 2012 and since then, researchers have continued to upgrade it. This method is often used in models for picture and voice recognition, because it showed it's practically usefulness for long time.



Image source:

https://medium.com/@amarbudhiraja/https-medium-com-amarbudhiraja-learning-less-to-learn-better-dropout-in-deep-machine-le arning-74334da4bfc5

Simple dropout





Input layer



Hidden layer

Output layer

Dropout implementation

 $y = P * a (\omega \times X + b)$

y - output

P - Bernoulli(P) (probability of drop)

a - activation function

w - weight

X - input

b - bias

Drop-Connect

Simple Dropout

Drop-Connect





 $y = a(\dot{\omega} \times X + b)$ $\dot{\omega} = \omega \times P$

y - output

P - Bernoulli(P) (probability of drop)

- a activation function
- ω weight
- X input
- b bias

Gaussian Dropout

Simple dropout

Gaussian dropout

Impact of nodes increases or decreases





Hidden layer 3 nodes

dropout layer

Hidden layer 3 nodes



dropout layer

 $y = a(\omega \times X + b) * Mg$

 $M\sim \mathcal{M}(1,\,p/(1{-}p)\,)$

y - output

M - dropout mask

a - activation function

 ω - weight

X - input

b - bias

Advanced dropout

Same behavior as gaussian dropout, but different formula, with 2 learnable parameters (mu, sigma)

 $y = a(\omega \times X + b) * M$



Model architecture, without dropout

No Dropout model



Figure 10 Experiment model without dropout

Simple dropout model

Simple dropout model Drop rate = 50%



Figure 11 Simple Dropout functions placement

Drop-connect model

Drop-Connect model



Figure 13 Drop-Connect functions placement

Gaussian and Advanced dropout models



Figure 12 Gaussian and Advanced Dropout functions placement

Parameters grid-search method

Dataset name	Learning rate	Epochs	Amount of data to test	Batch size	Dropout method	Drop rate
	(%)		Simple	50%		
Boston	0.001	2500	40%	8	dropout	
nouses					Gaussian	50%
California	0.001	2500	40%	16	dropout	
nouses					Drop-Connect	50%
Weather in	0.001	2500	40%	32		
Szeged					Advanced	parameters
BNG	0.001	2500	40%	32	dropout	learning rate: 0.0001

Table 2. Dataset information

Name	Total samples	Features	Link		
Boston houses	506	14	http://lib.stat.cmu.edu/datasets/boston		
California houses	20,640	9	https://www.kaggle.com/camnugent/ca lifornia-housing-prices		
Weather in Szeged	96,540	4	https://www.kaggle.com/budincsevity/ szeged-weather		
BNG	1,000,000	18	https://www.openml.org/d/1191		

Results, boston houses

Boston houses contains data regarding the real estate situation in Boston in 1978.

The best accuracy with standard model was 75.86%. Advanced and Simple dropout increased max accuracy by 15% and reached 90.51%. During advanced dropout testing, the highest accuracy was reached in 300 epochs.

Dropout method	Dropout	Best Loss in test	Best R ² score in	P – value
	probability (p)	(Smaller the	test	(With regard to the
		better)	(Higher the	NoDropout model and
			better)	Dropout models)
No Dropout	-	0.2955	75.86%	-
Simple Dropout	0.5	0.2218	90.26%	0.022
Drop-Connect	0.5	0.365	66.88%	0.0
Gaussian Dropout	0.5	0.2454	88.03%	$1.8 * 10^{-184}$
Advanced Dropout	-	0.2096	90.51%	$1.3 * 10^{-91}$

Table 3 Boston houses dataset, results overview

Riga Technical University

Boston houses, Loss/R2 plot



Figure 14 Boston dataset, no dropout

Rīgas Tehniskā universitāte



Figure 18 Boston dataset with Advanced dropout



Figure 15 Boston dataset with Simple Dropout

Results, california houses

California houses, it contains the data regarding real estate situation in California in 1990.

Simple dropout performed the best in this case, best accuracy was 80.06%, very close to standard model's result.

The Drop-Connect performed very bad, the R2 score results have a large variance.

Advanced dropout reached the max accuracy during 500 epochs.

Dropout method	Dropout	Best Loss in test	Best R ² score in	P – value
	probability (p)	(Smaller the	test	(With regard to NoDropout
		better)	(Higher the	with Dropout models)
			better)	
No Dropout	-1	0.2861	80.35%	-
Simple Dropout	0.5	0.2894	80.06%	3.9 * 10 ⁻²¹
Drop-Connect	0.5	0.4705	56.9%	0.0
Gaussian Dropout	0.5	0.3333	75.59%	0.0
Advanced Dropout	-	0.2938	79.37%	6 * 10 ⁻⁴³

Table 4 California Housing dataset, overview of results

California housing, Loss/R2 plot







Figure 20 California Housing dataset, Simple dropout



Figure 21 California Housing dataset with Drop-Connect

Advanced dropout, california





Results, weather in szeged

Weather in Szeged is the weather storage dataset, which contains weather data from 2006 to 2016 in the Czech Republic area. Predict the temperature by "Wind Speed (km/h)", "Humidity", "Wind Bearing (degrees)" factors.

Simple dropout is the best solution with best accuracy 44.36%. Gaussian dropout had many accuracy drops, untrustable results. Advanced dropout reached its maximum during 500 epochs.

Dropout method	Dropout	Best Loss in test	Best R^2 score in	P – value
	probability (p)	(Smaller the	test	(With regard to NoDropout
		better)	(Higher the	with Dropout models)
			better)	
No Dropout	- 1	0.58	44.96%	-
Simple Dropout	0.5	0.5981	44.36%	$3.8 * 10^{-109}$
Drop-Connect	0.5	0.6068	41.83%	1.6 * 10 ⁻²⁸⁰
Gaussian Dropout	0.5	0.6095	42.32%	0.0
Advanced Dropout	-	0.5952	43.71%	8.5 * 10 ⁻²⁶⁰

Table 5. Dropout functions results review, weather dataset

Weather dataset, Loss/R2 plot



Figure 24 Dataset 'Weather in Szeged' - Overfitting in the ANN model.

Figure 25 Simple Dropout, weather dataset



Figure 27 Gaussian Dropout, weather dataset

Gaussian dropout in california and boston









Results, BNG

BNG is synthetic dataset, generated by Bayesian Network. Many features and many samples.

The best solution was Simple dropout with R2 score 42.89%.

However, Advanced dropout prevented overfitting and reached max accuracy in 300 epochs.

Dropout method	Dropout	Best Loss in test	Best R^2 score in	P-value
	probability (p)	(Smaller the	test	(With regard to NoDropout
		better)	(Higher the	with Dropout models)
			better)	
No Dropout	-	0.5559	41.46%	-
Simple Dropout	0.5	0.5412	42.89%	0.0
Drop-Connect	0.5	0.6415	31.80%	0.0
Gaussian Dropout	0.5	0.5561	40.52%	$2.7 * 10^{-156}$
Advanced Dropout	-	0.5817	39.40%	$3 * 10^{-106}$

Table 6 BNG dataset, results overview

BNG Loss/R2 plots



Figure 29 BNG dataset without dropout



Figure 30 BNG dataset with Simple dropout

Further research

Make more diverse comparison research with classification, speech recognition, image classification or recognition tasks. Make comparison analysis between simple and advanced dropouts in those tasks.

Beside dropout, add other regularization methods: L1 and L2 norms and batch normalizations. Test them together.

Conclusions

First hypothesis is proved. No matter size or type of dropout method, it is preventing overfitting.

- Second hypothesis is disproved. The Simple dropout had better R2 score results in 3 out of 4 datasets.
- Drop-Connect is the worst method to implement. It was able to prevent the overfitting, however the R2 scores were the much lower in comparison with other dropout methods.

Advanced dropout is the good solution to replace gaussian dropout, as it produces more precise results and the max accuracy achieved faster, that other dropout methods .

Thank you for attention!

